

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 ANDRE M. INGRAM

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, et
10 al.,

11 Defendants.
12

Case No. 2:18-cv-01939-GMN-CWH

ORDER

13 Pro se plaintiff Andre M. Ingram commenced this case by filing an application to proceed
14 *in forma pauperis* and a proposed complaint. (IFP Application (ECF No. 2); Compl. (ECF No. 2-
15 1).) The court denied Mr. Ingram's application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Order (ECF No.
16 6).) Mr. Ingram subsequently paid the \$400 filing fee. (Receipt of Payment (ECF No. 6).) Given
17 that Mr. Ingram is not proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the court is not required to screen his
18 complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). The court therefore will not enter a screening order in
19 this case. This case shall proceed on the normal litigation track as governed by the Federal Rules
20 of Civil Procedure.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 DATED: December 3, 2018

24
25 
26 C.W. HOFFMAN, JR.
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28